Evidence for a Size-Selective Adsorption Mechanism on Oxide Surfaces: Pd and Au atoms on SiO$_2$/Mo(112)
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The adsorption properties of solid surfaces are dominated by the chemical and physical nature of the topmost atomic layer, with sub-surface layers contributing only weakly. This adsorption behavior is usually unspecific in terms of admitting only selected molecular species for binding or in determining well-defined interaction sites on the surface. A much higher degree of surface functionality is common to all biological systems, where foreign species are repelled at the inert surface of a membrane and are allowed to interact only at specific pores and channels. A simplified concept of this has been transferred to material science, where a size-selective interaction mechanism was realized for three-dimensional zeolite and silicate structures.$^{[1]}$ The decisive parameter in the process is the pore size of the –Si—Al–O– network, which controls whether a reactant penetrates the opening and propagates to the reaction site or not. Typical pore sizes in zeolites can be adjusted between 1–50 nm, this being an ideal diameter for the fabrication of molecular sieves.

To the best of our knowledge, no two-dimensional counterpart for a molecular sieve has been produced so far that would be able to control the access of an atomic/molecular species to a reactive subsurface region via nanopores in the inert top layer. A selective interaction characteristic would have interesting applications in heterogeneous catalysis, as reaction processes could be steered via the size of the reactants with respect to the openings in the top layer. A promising candidate for size-specific adsorption is the ultra-thin SiO$_2$ film on Mo(112), which was recently developed by Schröder et al.$^{[2,3]}$ and later investigated in detail by experiment and theory.$^{[4,5,6,7,8]}$ The film is build up by a network of six-membered –Si—O— rings, which is interrupted by eight-membered rings along line defects. These ring openings give access to the Mo(112) support, which is considerably more reactive than the inert silica layer. According to theoretical predictions,$^{[9]}$ the possibility to attach adsorbates to the Mo–SiO$_2$ interface depends on their effective diameters. Herein, we demonstrate such size-specific adsorption characteristics of the SiO$_2$ film by employing scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and density functional theory (DFT). While Pd atoms are able to penetrate the openings in defect-free oxide patches, Au atoms are too large and only bind at SiO$_2$ line defects.

The experiments are performed with a custom-built ultrahigh vacuum STM operated at 10 K. Electronic properties of the sample are detected with differential conductance (dI/dV) spectroscopy, providing a measure of the local density of states (LDOS).$^{[10]}$ The SiO$_2$ film is prepared by depositing 1.2 ML (Monolayer) Si in 1 x 10$^{-7}$ mbar O$_2$ onto a Mo(112) surface pre-covered with oxygen and annealing the sample to 1200 K.$^{[11]}$ Single Au and Pd atoms are deposited onto the cryogenic surface from two separate alumina crucibles.

DFT calculations are performed with the generalized gradient approximation as implemented in the VASP code, using the PW91 exchange–correlation functional and a plane wave basis set (energy cut-off of 400 eV).$^{[11,12]}$ The electron–ion interaction is described by the projector augmented wave method.$^{[13]}$ Various supercells are constructed to model the adsorption properties of SiO$_2$/Mo(112), in particular a (4 x 2) cell for Pd (Mo$_{56}$Si$_{8}$O$_{20}$) and a (5 x 2) cell for Au adsorption along line defects (Mo$_{56}$Si$_{8}$O$_{20}$). STM images are simulated using the Tersoff–Hamann approach.$^{[10]}$

STM topographic images of the film exhibit a honeycomb structure with protrusions located at each corner of the interlocked hexagons, in agreement with the structure model proposed in ref. [6] (Figure 1A). Each maximum represents the silicon atom of a SiO$_4$ tetrahedron, which is connected to three neighboring silicon atoms via bridging oxygen atoms. The fourth oxygen atom located below the silicon sits in a bridge position of the [TT1] oriented rows of Mo(112) and anchors the film to the support. The –Si—O— hexagons enclose a hole of 3–4 Å in size that opens into a nanopore at the Mo–SiO$_2$ interface. The major structural defects of the film are [TT0]-oriented antiphase domain boundaries (APDB), formed by an alternation of eight- (hole size: 5 x 3 Å$^2$) and four-membered rings (see arrows in Figure 1A).

Adsorption of 0.05 ML of Pd leads to distinct changes in topographic images of the SiO$_2$ film (Figure 1A). In contrast to earlier experiments, no protruding features are observed that could be associated to single Pd atoms bound to the oxide surface.$^{[14,15,16]}$ Instead, selected structural elements of the SiO$_2$ network appear bright, indicating that Pd-induced modifications in the oxide LDOS, and not the adatom itself, are responsible for the contrast change. This assumption is supported by the pronounced bias dependence of the topographic features associated with Pd (Figure 1C, left to right). At negative sample bias (occupied states), the adatom affects only the lower section of a –Si—O— hexagon. At small positive bias, the contrast extends over a larger part of the ring, leading to the
emergence of hexagonal stars with dark central regions. With further bias increase, the bright contrast gradually moves from the edges to the center of the hexagon, where a protrusion becomes visible above +1.75 V. Pd-induced modifications in the SiO₂ lattice are randomly distributed across the surface and show no preference for either line or point defects. Even for a nominal Pd coverage as high as 0.15 ML, no Pd aggregation or cluster formation is observed.

Conductance spectra of the Pd-induced features reveal an increase of the \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) signal at 2.3 V with respect to the bare oxide, indicating the presence of a new unoccupied state (Figure 2A). The spatial distribution of the conductance is plotted in the \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) map shown in Figure 2B. High Pd-related intensity is observed at 2.3 V, which corresponds to the bias value, where the topographic contrast localizes in the center of a –Si–O– hexagon. The Pd \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) signal is swamped by the silica conduction band that appears as a bright line at 2.75 V.

DFT calculations of Pd atoms on the silica film rationalize the adsorption characteristics deduced from the experiments. Pd atoms are able to diffuse without barrier through the openings in the six-membered rings and bind to the short Mo–Mo bridge position accessible in the nanopore (Figure 1B). The resulting binding energy of 3.3 eV is almost ten times larger than on the silica surface. This strong interaction at the interface is responsible for the ineffective lateral diffusion of Pd atoms, explaining their small preference for oxide defects and the absence of Pd aggregates. Although Mo contributes most to the Pd binding, the electronic states of the oxide ring also participate in the interaction. In particular, the 2p states of the oxygen atoms in the topmost oxide plane hybridize with the Pd 5s orbital, leading to an increase of the unoccupied LDOS between 1.5–2.5 eV (Figure 2C). The electronic states of the Si-surface and O-interface atoms, on the other hand, remain nearly unaffected upon insertion of the adatom (Figure 2D). This interplay between O and Pd orbitals is responsible for the specific contrast evolution of the –Si–O– rings accommodating a Pd atom, as demonstrated by STM simulations (Figure 1D, left to right). As the Pd is located deep below the oxide surface, the contrast change mainly reflects the adsorbate influence on the silica LDOS, as direct tunneling into Pd orbitals is inefficient. The LDOS contour of the occupied states shows only little change around the Pd adsorption site. However, the adsorbate-induced increase of the unoccupied LDOS leads to the appearance of the typical hexagonal stars at positive bias. Structural deformations of the –Si–O– ring—involve a slight relaxation of the top layer’s oxygen atoms towards the embedded Pd—contribute to the electronic alterations.

Only at the resonance position of the Pd 5s orbital calculated at +2.0 eV (Figure 2E), do the Pd states directly influence the STM imaging process. The onset of tunneling into this orbital gives rise to the observed \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) increase in the Pd conductance spectra. The accessibility of the Pd 5s orbital also leads to the localization of the topographic contrast within the center of the –Si–O– rings, as reproduced in the simulated STM images (Figure 1D, right).

Exposure of the oxide surface to Au atoms results in a completely different picture (Figure 3A). While no topographic changes are detected on defect-free oxide terraces, protrusions of different sizes become visible along the APDB. The smallest feature identified with the STM sits in an eight-membered –Si–O– ring and is tentatively assigned to a single Au adatom (Figure 3C, circled, left to right). It shows a similar bias-dependent contrast as the Pd species. At small negative bias, two
bright spots appear at the long side of the octagon, leaving the nanopore in the center as a dark hole. With increasing positive bias, the topographic contrast first spreads over larger sections of the ring and then moves towards the ring center, where it forms an elliptical protrusion (Figure 3C, circle, right). The second smallest aggregate is characterized by an asymmetric shape with respect to the Mo[110] direction, which indicates occupation of two non-equivalent adsorption sites in the −Si–O−octagon (Figure 3C, square). At higher exposure, larger Au aggregates with spherical shapes and negligible bias-dependent contrast develop along the APDB (bottom right of Figure 3A). The Au-related features induce little change in the dI/dV spectra of the SiO2 film. The monomer spectrum exhibits a slight increase in the conductance at +1.5 V, similar to the one observed at 2.3 V for Pd. With increasing aggregate size, the dI/dV spectra of the Au species become indistinguishable from the silica background, demonstrating their strong coupling to the support.

Also in the Au case, the experimental findings are reproduced well by DFT. The larger Au atoms have to overcome a barrier of 0.9 eV to penetrate a typical six-membered ring.[9] As this activation energy is higher than the Au binding energy to the SiO2 of 0.1 eV, the adatoms remain weakly bound to the surface and rapidly diffuse even at low temperature. Only at APDB, exposing eight-membered rings with larger diameter and lower activation energy for penetration (0.15 eV), binding to the Mo–SiO2 interface becomes possible with 2.67 eV (Figure 3B). The insertion of an Au atom again induces strong modifications in the silica LDOS. In contrast to Pd, these changes are not primarily induced by a direct hybridization with the Au orbitals, but result from a long-range deformation of the oxide lattice. Not only the atoms of the affected octagon relax outward to allocate more space for the Au, the adjacent four-membered rings take part in the relaxation process as well. The structural rearrangement has little effect on the filled states of both oxygen and silicon atoms, but increases the unoccupied LDOS of the oxygen atoms in the oxide top layer. This specific LDOS change reflects the widening of the octagon, which reduces the spatial overlap and therefore the hybridization between silicon and oxygen orbitals. The four oxygen atoms in the −Si–O−ring that are next to the embedded Au are affected most strongly by the lattice distortion and consequently show up as bright spots in experimental and simulated STM images taken at small positive bias (Figures 3C and D). At voltages above +1.5 V, direct tunneling into Au states becomes important, as revealed by the shift of the topographic contrast towards the ring center and the increase of the dI/dV signal of the Au species. The new channel for tunneling is related to the empty part of the Au 6s orbital, which has split into an occupied and an unoccupied resonance at −0.8/+0.8 eV due to the interaction with the Mo states.

The nanopores at APDB are large enough to accommodate a second and third Au atom. The Au dimer adopts a flat configuration with both atoms sitting in a Mo–Mo bridge site (Figure 3B). The energy gain for attaching the second atom to a pre-adsorbed monomer amounts to 2.48 eV. A third atom binds with 1.77 eV to the bridge position of the Au2, thus forming a triangular trimer. Based on STM simulations, Au dimers are clearly identified in the experiment (Figures 3C, square and D, central panel). In images taken at small positive or negative bias, only the upper and central parts of the affected −Si–O−octagon become visible, as these sections are subject to the largest structural deformation. At higher positive bias, the energy levels of Au2 dominate the tunneling process. Due to hybridization of the two 6s orbitals of the dimer atoms, the lowest accessible state shifts towards E0 with respect to the monomer and the ring center appears with bright contrast already below +0.8 V (Figure 3C, square). The energy gain when adding atoms to an embedded Au2 species indicates that APDB are preferential nucleation sites on the SiO2 film, and the growth of large Au particles decorating the line defects is indeed observed at higher coverage.[17]

To conclude, the adsorption of single Pd and Au atoms on a thin SiO2 film on Mo(112) involves the penetration of the atoms through openings in the silica network and their attachment at the Mo–SiO2 interface. Due to the different sizes of Au and Pd atoms, this binding mechanism is active at different positions in the silica film. While Pd is able to pass through the −Si–O−hexagons in defect-free oxide patches, the larger Au atoms only enter the eight-membered rings along APDB. The resulting adsorption characteristic resembles a first, primitive form of a molecular sieve, capable of selecting atomic adsorbates via their size.
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