

Photochemistry of Methane on Pd/Al₂O₃ Model Catalysts: Control of Photochemistry on Transition Metal Surfaces**

Kazuo Watanabe, Yoshiyasu Matsumoto,
Margarethe Kampling, Katharina Al-Shamery, and
Hans-Joachim Freund*

*Dedicated to Professor Manfred Baerns
on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

Nano-sized transition metal clusters, an important class of substances in catalysis, reveal many unique physical and chemical properties. These properties are strongly dependent on the size and the morphology of the clusters.^[1-3] For example, the electronic structures of Pd clusters and the interaction with adsorbates such as carbon monoxide change drastically with cluster size.^[4, 5] The size of clusters and, hence, their geometrical and electronic structures can be controlled by changing formation conditions such as metal deposition rates and surface temperature.^[6] Thus, it is of great interest to know if surface (photo)reactions can be controlled by manipulating the electronic and geometrical structures of the metal clusters.

Owing to the importance of more effective use of natural gas, the thermal activation of methane C–H bonds has been studied extensively. Especially the conversion into methanol and other hydrocarbons is of great interest.^[7] On the other hand, it has recently been discovered that methane physisorbed on Pt(111) and Pd(111) single-crystal surfaces is readily dissociated into methyl and hydrogen by irradiation with a 193-nm (6.42 eV) ArF excimer laser,^[8-10] although gaseous methane absorbs light at wavelengths shorter than 145 nm (8.55 eV).^[11] This strong shift of more than 2.1 eV is surprising in view of the low methane–surface interaction of around 230–250 meV. Controlled experiments showed that the electronic states of the metal surfaces play an important role in the photoexcitation of methane.^[9, 12] In fact, photoreaction efficiency and photoinduced dynamics differ significantly as a result of differences in the surface electronic structures between Pt(111) and Pd(111).^[10]

Is methane photodissociated also at transition metal clusters? If so, how does its photochemistry depend on the size of the clusters? These are questions we address here. By using a well-characterized model catalyst consisting of Pd clusters of various sizes deposited on a thin Al₂O₃ film epitaxially grown on NiAl(110) as support,^[13-15] we have

studied adsorption states and photoreactions (at $\lambda = 193$ nm) of methane and found that the photoreactions strongly depend on the cluster size.

The experiments were carried out in a stainless steel ultrahigh-vacuum (UHV) chamber equipped with facilities for spot profile analysis–low energy electron diffraction (SPA-LEED), Auger electron spectroscopy (AES), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), X-ray Auger electron spectroscopy (XAES), and temperature-programmed desorption (TPD). The base pressure was kept below 5×10^{-11} mbar during the experiments.^[16] A NiAl(110)-terminated single-crystal surface was cleaned by the conventional treatment. An epitaxial Al₂O₃ film of 5 Å thickness was obtained by oxidizing the cleaned crystal through exposure to 6×10^{-6} mbar of oxygen at 500 K and annealing at 1100 K. For the experiment the sample was cooled to 40 K with liquid helium. Laser irradiation was performed with a broad-band excimer laser ($\lambda = 193$ nm) with a laser fluence of 2.5 mJ cm^{-2} per pulse at a repetition rate of 4 Hz.

The Pd atoms were evaporated from a Pd rod in a commercial oven by electron bombardment. They were deposited onto the Al₂O₃ support at room temperature (300 K) to form Pd clusters with mainly (111) terraces.^[15] The clusters used in this study have average diameters of 37 ± 16 Å (Pd film of 1.4 ± 0.3 Å deposited according to quartz microbalance measurements), 49 ± 10 Å (Pd film of 2.1 ± 0.4 Å), 65 ± 10 Å (Pd film of 3.5 ± 0.7 Å), and 73 ± 10 Å (Pd film of 7 ± 1.4 Å), as determined by SPA-LEED analysis.

Figure 1 shows the TPD results for deuterated methane (m/z 20, CD₄) from Pd clusters exposed to 0.5 L^[17] CD₄ at 40 K. The numbers at the curves denote total Pd coverages. The




Figure 1. Series of CD₄ TPD spectra (m/z 20; solid curves) of Pd clusters of various sizes deposited on a thin Al₂O₃ film epitaxially grown on NiAl(110). The sample was exposed to 0.5 L CD₄ at 40 K. The desorption peaks are due to molecular desorption, CD₄(ads) \rightarrow CD₄(g). The numbers denote the total Pd coverages as a measure of the cluster size (see text). The dashed curve corresponds to a TPD spectrum of a Pd(111) single-crystal surface after exposure to 0.6 L CD₄, and is depicted in a different scale. The heating rate for the clusters was 0.5 K s^{-1} , and 0.4 K s^{-1} for the Pd(111) single crystal.

peak temperature, at which the desorption rate is at a maximum, increases with cluster size (52 K for a film of 0.4 Å to 64 K for 7 Å); that is, the methane–cluster interaction becomes stronger as the clusters grow. The dashed curve corresponds to a TPD result for the Pd(111) single-crystal surface with 0.6 L CD₄.^[18] The TPD profiles of the Pd clusters are broader than that of Pd(111) and have a long tail to higher temperatures. This may be attributed to cluster size

[*] Prof. Dr. H.-J. Freund, Dip.-Phys. M. Kampling,
Prof. Dr. K. Al-Shamery
Fritz-Haber Institut der Max-Planck Gesellschaft
Faradayweg 4–6, D-14195 Berlin (Germany)
Fax: (+49) 30-8413-4101
E-mail: freund@fhi-berlin.mpg.de

Dr. K. Watanabe, Prof. Dr. Y. Matsumoto
Institute for Molecular Science, Okazaki, 444-8585 (Japan) and
The Graduate University for Advanced Studies
Hayama, Kanagawa, 240-0193 (Japan)

[**] This work was partially supported by the NEDO International Joint Research Grant on Photon and Electron Controlled Surface Processes.

distributions and the inhomogeneity of the cluster surfaces in contrast to the well-ordered structure of the Pd(111) surface. However, no dissociative adsorption was observed for surfaces with Pd clusters.

Atoms and molecules with a closed shell, such as rare gases and methane, adsorb weakly on metal surfaces because of the dispersion force (van der Waals interaction) that is induced by dynamic response of bulk electrons to charge density fluctuations in an adsorbed molecule. It has been shown before that the electron population at the Fermi edge, which is mainly relevant for the interaction, drastically changes with cluster size in the size regime presented here.^[4] The gradual increase in the peak temperature with the cluster size can be reasonably understood, since larger clusters contain more electrons available for the dynamic response.

Figure 2 shows the TPD results for CD₃H (*m/z* 19) measured after 193-nm ArF laser irradiation (1.5×10^{19} photons per cm²) onto CD₄-precovered surfaces.^[19] At around




Figure 2. Series of CD₃H TPD spectra (*m/z* = 19; solid curves) of 0.5 L CD₄ precovered Pd clusters of various sizes after laser irradiation with 1.5×10^{19} photons per cm² at 193 nm. The desorption peaks are due to recombinative desorption, CD₃(ads) + H(ads) → CD₃H(g). The numbers denote the total Pd coverages as a measure of the cluster size (see text). The dashed curve corresponds to a TPD spectrum after irradiation of a Pd(111) single-crystal surface precovered with 1.1 L CD₄, and is depicted in a different scale. The heating rate for the clusters was 0.5 K s⁻¹, and 0.9 K s⁻¹ for the Pd(111) single crystal.

140 K a new desorption peak, which is absent without laser irradiation, appears at 2.1, 3.5, and 7 Å Pd depositions. This peak is attributable to the recombinative desorption of methyl (CD₃) and hydrogen (H) from the surface;^[20] that is, methane dissociates also at these Pd clusters. The hydrogen on the surface results from residual gas within the chamber and is due to the high sorptivity of palladium with respect to hydrogen adsorption. No other reaction products have been observed in the TPD after irradiation except for those from the recombinative desorption of CD₃ + H/D and H/D + H/D.

Figure 3a shows a plot of the CD₃H formation ratio β ($\beta = B/A_0$; B = integrated TPD peak areas of CD₃H after irradiation, A_0 = integrated TPD peak area of initially adsorbed CD₄ before irradiation) as a function of the total Pd coverage c . This plot shows that photodissociation is only observed when the cluster size exceeds a certain threshold (average cluster diameter between 37 and 49 Å). Beyond this point, the relative amount of photodissociated methane increases with increasing cluster size.




Figure 3. a) Plot of the CD₃H formation ratio β ($\beta = B/A_0$; B = integrated TPD peak areas of CD₃H after irradiation, A_0 = integrated TPD peak area of initially adsorbed CD₄ before irradiation) as a function of the total Pd coverage c ; b) plot of the CD₄ depletion ratio α ($\alpha = 1 - A/A_0$; A_0 = integrated peak areas of CD₄ before irradiation, A = integrated peak areas of CD₄ after irradiation with 1.5×10^{19} photons per cm²) as a function of the total Pd coverage. The total Pd coverages of 1.4, 2.1, 3.5, and 7 Å correspond to average cluster sizes of 37, 49, 65, and 73 Å, respectively, as determined by SPA-LEED analysis.

The coverage of methane is depleted by photodesorption as well as by photodissociation. Figure 3b shows a plot of the CD₄ depletion ratio α ($\alpha = 1 - A/A_0$; A_0 = integrated peak areas of CD₄ before irradiation, A = integrated peak areas of CD₄ after irradiation with 1.5×10^{19} photons per cm²) as a function of the total Pd coverage.^[21] The depletion ratio of CD₄ decreases with increasing cluster size. The low depletion ratio for the larger clusters (≥ 40 Å) suggests the strong poisoning effect caused by methyl adsorbates that quench photoexcitation, as observed in single-crystal experiments.^[22] The depletion ratio for the smaller clusters is mainly due to photodesorption, because no photodissociation could be observed. For rather small cluster sizes some laser-induced thermal desorption might be possible in view of the low desorption temperatures of methane for these clusters.

Why is methane that has been weakly adsorbed on the Pd clusters and the Pd(111) single crystal excited upon irradiation with 193-nm photons, when gaseous methane shows negligible absorbance? In contrast to numerous examples of surface photochemistry at metal surfaces, it has been confirmed by polarization-dependent cross-section measurements that methane on Pt(111) and Pd(111) surfaces is excited through a direct electronic transition localized within the adsorbate-substrate complex.^[10] Since the strength of the interaction between methane and the Pd clusters is close to that with Pd(111), it would be appropriate to assume that the same excitation mechanism is applicable for the methane/Pd cluster system.

The excited state of the methane/Pd cluster system is likely a charge-transfer state, CH₄^{δ+} - M^{δ-} (M: metal, $0 < \delta < 1$) according to ab initio calculations for CH₄/Pt_{*n*}^[23] and CH₄/Pd_{*n*} clusters^[24] ($n = 1-10$). Akinaga et al.^[23, 24] calculated the excited states of the cluster levels at optimized configurations in the ground electronic state. The excited state responsible for dissociation to CH₃ + H is formed from the mixing of the

antibonding Rydberg state of methane (10 eV above the HOMO of methane in the gas phase) with unoccupied states of the metal. The excitation energy for photodissociation is reduced to about 7 eV with increasing number of metal atoms up to 10. The excitation energy depends strongly on the cluster size because electron redistribution over the metal atoms plays an important role in stabilizing this charge-transfer state.^[23]

Another way to understand the excitation mechanism is given by recent slab local density approximation (LDA) calculations on CH₄/Pd(111). The equilibrium distance *z* between the Pd surface plane and the C nucleus is calculated to be 3 Å.^[25] When complete charge transfer to the substrate is assumed in the excited state of methane, the image force stabilizes the excited state by 1.9 eV.^[26] The ionization potential of physisorbed methane should then be reduced by 1.9 eV plus the work function of Pd(111). With a work function of 5.6 eV^[27] and a gas-phase ionization potential of 12.6 eV,^[28] the excitation energy for the complete charge transferred state is calculated to be 5.1 eV, which is accessible with a 6.4 eV photon. Within this model, the increased probability for methane photodissociation at larger clusters can be explained in terms of increased image force expected from the stronger interaction with methane.

In conclusion, adsorption states, photodissociation, and photodesorption of methane at $\lambda = 193$ nm on Pd clusters of various sizes on a thin epitaxial Al₂O₃ film show very pronounced size effects and remarkable differences to the behavior on Pd(111) single-crystal surfaces. These results strongly suggest that metal clusters of different sizes and shapes provide a unique way for controlling both photochemical and thermal reactions of hydrocarbons at metal surfaces.

- [1] H.-J. Freund, *Angew. Chem.* **1997**, *109*, 444; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 452–475.
- [2] C. T. Campbell, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **1997**, *27*, 1–111.
- [3] C. R. Henry, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **1998**, *31*, 231–326.
- [4] A. Sandell, J. Libuda, P. Brühwiler, S. Andersson, A. J. Maxwell, M. Bäumer, N. Mårtensson, H.-J. Freund, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **1996**, *14*, 1546–1551.
- [5] K. Wolter, O. Seiferth, H. Kühlenbeck, M. Bäumer, H.-J. Freund, *Surf. Sci.* **1998**, *399*, 190–198.
- [6] M. Bäumer, J. Libuda, A. Sandell, H.-J. Freund, G. Graw, T. Bertrams, H. Neddermeyer, *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 1381–1386.
- [7] H. D. Gesser, N. R. Hunter, C. B. Prakash, *Chem. Rev.* **1985**, *85*, 235–244.
- [8] Y. A. Gruzdkov, K. Watanabe, K. Sawabe, Y. Matsumoto, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1994**, *227*, 243–247.
- [9] Y. Matsumoto, Y. A. Gruzdkov, K. Watanabe, K. Sawabe, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 4775–4778.
- [10] K. Watanabe, Y. Matsumoto, *Surf. Sci.* **1997**, *390*, 250–255.
- [11] G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure*, Vol. 3, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, **1966**, p. 527.
- [12] K. Watanabe, K. Sawabe, Y. Matsumoto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *76*, 1751–1754.
- [13] R. M. Jaeger, H. Kühlenbeck, H.-J. Freund, M. Wuttig, W. Hoffmann, R. Franchy, H. Ibach, *Surf. Sci.* **1991**, *259*, 235–252.
- [14] J. Libuda, F. Winkelmann, M. Bäumer, H.-J. Freund, T. Bertrams, H. Neddermeyer, K. Müller, *Surf. Sci.* **1994**, *318*, 61–73.
- [15] M. Bäumer, J. Libuda, H.-J. Freund in *Chemisorption and Reactivity on Supported Clusters and Thin Films* (Eds.: R. M. Lambert, G. Pacchioni), Kluwer, Dordrecht, **1997**, p. 61.
- [16] M. Menges, B. Baumeister, K. Al-Shamery, H.-J. Freund, C. Fischer, P. Andresen, *Surf. Sci.* **1994**, *316*, 103–111.
- [17] 1 Langmuir (symbol L) corresponds to 1×10^{-6} s Torr = 1.33×10^{-6} smbar.
- [18] At this exposure, a $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$ LEED pattern of CD₄ is formed on Pd(111).^[10]
- [19] The typical irradiation condition was 2.5 mJ cm⁻² per pulse at 4 Hz and at normal incidence to the surface.
- [20] We observed *m/z* 19 (CD₃H) instead of *m/z* 20 (CD₄) to avoid spurious desorption signals from parts other than the sample surface. Owing to the high sorptivity of palladium with respect to hydrogen adsorption, residual H₂ in the UHV chamber dissociatively adsorbs on Pd clusters to form hydrogen adsorbates during experiment even at pressures below 10^{-10} mbar. However, this enables the background-free measurement of the recombinative reaction CD₃(ads) + H(ads) → CD₃H(g).
- [21] This figure shows the branching ratio between methane which has reacted and that which has not after the methane depletion is terminated.
- [22] J. Yoshinobu, H. Ogasawara, M. Kawai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *75*, 2176–2179.
- [23] Y. Akinaga, T. Taketsugu, K. Hirao, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *107*, 415–424.
- [24] Y. Akinaga, T. Taketsugu, K. Hirao, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 11 010–11 017.
- [25] D. R. Jennison, personal communication.
- [26] D. R. Jennison, E. B. Stechel, A. R. Burns, Y. S. Li, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B* **1995**, *101*, 22–30.
- [27] “Work function of Pd”: *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* (Ed.: D. R. Lide), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, **1997–1998**.
- [28] The binding energy of the HOMO of CH₄ on Pt(111) indicates that the ionization energy is not significantly altered by adsorption (D. J. Alberas-Sloan, J. M. White, *Surf. Sci.* **1997**, *365*, 212–228).